

# Assessment (HVRA)

Examining the Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats to our Community

January 26, 2023

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

For Official Use Only

**THIS IS A STRATEGIC LEADERSHIP LEVEL DOCUMENT** It informs objectives for operational procedures and program plans

and A PREPAREDNESS COMPONENT of the EM Program It describes training, preparedness, or prevention activities

### i. Overview

The activities in this Hazard Vulnerability Risk Assessment (HVRA) fulfill Emergency Management (EM) Program requirements found in University System of Maryland (USM) policy. <u>See VI-13.00 -- Policy on Campus Emergency Planning, Preparedness, and Response</u>.

This plan is a STRATEGIC level, PREPAREDNESS component of UMBC's EM framework as described in the Base Emergency Operations Plan (EOP). This HVRA is an annual review of the most likely natural, accidental, and intentional sources of harm to the physical safety and security of the UMBC community, campus, and surrounding jurisdictions. For any questions regarding this document, please contact:

Name: John Schaible

Title, Department:Emergency Manager, UMBC Police DepartmentEmail Address:schaible@umbc.eduPhone Number:Office: (410) 455-2572Cell: (443) 981-9028

## ii. Approvals

University System of Maryland (USM) <u>VI-13.00 -- Policy on Campus Emergency Planning</u>, <u>Preparedness, and Response</u> requires each USM institution to conduct an annual risk assessment. This HVRA follows the Enhanced Threat and Risk Assessment (ETRA) model. Future assessments will follow the Threat and Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment (THIRA) and Stakeholder Preparedness Review (SPR) model described in Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Preparedness Guide (CPG) 201 (May 2018).

Bruce Perry

1/26/2023 | 11:24 AM EST

Bruce Perry, Chief, UMBC Police Department

## iii. Applicability

This assessment is only applicable to the main UMBC campus and does not apply to other affiliate locations.



Date

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

#### For Official Use Only

## **Table of Contents**

Click on a section title to go there. Click on the UMBC banner at the top to return here.

Se	Section I		
i. (	Overview	2	
ii.	Approvals	2	
iii.	Applicability	2	
Ра	rt 1. Summary	4	
Α.	Purpose and Objectives	4	
Β.	Terms and Definitions	4	
C.	Results	4	
Ра	rt 2. Discussion	6	
Α.	Hazard Value (HZ) Results		
Β.	Impact Results		
C.	Consequence (C) Scores		
D.	Probability (P) Scores		
E.	Risk (R) Scores	7	
Ра	rt 3. Vulnerability Assessment		
Α.	Shared Elements		
Β.	Natural and Accidental Hazard Elements		
C.	Intentional Hazard Elements		
D.	Vulnerability Assessment Rating		
Pa	rt 4. Risk Surveys		
Α.	Online Risk Surveys		
Β.	Hazard Questions		
Ра	rt 5. Scoring		
Α.	Survey Scores		
Β.	Assessment Scores		
At	tachments	Page	
1.	Vulnerability Assessment Worksheets		
2.	Hazard Values (HZ)		
3.	Human Impact (HI) Values		
4.	Economic Impact (EI) Values		
5.	Consequence (C) Scores		
6.	Probability (P) and Risk (R) Scores		
7.	All-Hazard Rankings	33	

#### - End of Table of Contents -

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Pag

#### For Official Use Only

## Part 1. Summary

This HVRA examines three (3) elements of natural, accidental, and intentional hazards: 1) our vulnerability to each; 2) the risk of an actual incident; and 3) the impacts of an actual incident. Conclusions are based on a combination of vulnerability assessments (see Part 3) and risk surveys (see part 4). Survey contributors include Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) with EM-related responsibilities, and other community members to include students, faculty, and staff.

#### A. Purpose and Objectives

The objectives of this HVRA are: 1) support the strategy and framework in our EM Strategic Plan and Base Emergency Operations Plan (EOP); 2) prioritize training, preparedness, and prevention needs; and 3) facilitate the plans and procedures essential for mitigating incident impacts. This HVRA informs EOP Appendix 3, Hazard Mitigation Procedures, Facility Emergency Action Plans (FEAPs), and Emergency Action Procedures (EAPs).

#### B. Terms and Definitions

The following terms and definitions apply to this document:

Term	Definition	
<b>Hazard</b> A potential source of physical harm to the UMBC community, the physical car whole, or unique to a specific facility on campus.		
Incident The actual occurrence of a specific type of hazard.		
<b>Risk</b> The likelihood that an incident may occur.		
Impact The harmful consequences resulting from an incident.		
Vulnerability	A weakness that can increase the risk and impacts of an incident.	
Natural Hazard	A source of harm in the natural environment not caused by human activity.	
Accidental Hazard A source of harm from human activity and any resulting impacts are unintentio		
Intentional Hazard A source of harm from deliberate human activity and impacts are intentional.		

Figure 1.1. Terms and Definition	Figure 1.1.	Terms and	Definitions
----------------------------------	-------------	-----------	-------------

#### C. Results

Results illustrate where we must focus training, preparedness, and prevention efforts. 261 total contributors responded to two separate surveys. 63 staff members designated as University SMEs received a survey request; 46 provided responses. 215 others responded to a separate community survey, to include 12 students, 102 faculty, and 101 staff members. Survey results, in combination with vulnerability assessments, determined primary hazards:

- **1. Primary Natural Hazard: Severe Storm** (tornadoes, high winds, severe thunderstorms, hurricanes, and tropical storms).
- **2. Primary Accidental Hazard: Utility or Infrastructure Outage** (water, power, heating or cooling systems, elevators, or fire alarm and sprinkler system outages).
- **3. Primary Intentional Hazard: Crime Against Property** (any form of theft or intentional damage caused to property owned by an individual or owned by the University).

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page

#### For Official Use Only

**4. Ratings for Primary Hazards**. This assessment identified primary hazard Risk (R) Values derived from: 1) Vulnerability (V) Ratings; 2) Hazard Values (HZ); 3) Probabilities (P); 4) Human Impacts (HI); 5) Economic Impacts (EI); and 6) Consequence (C) Scores from the number of complete survey responses (N).

Figure 1.2. Combined Ratings for Primary Hazards (based on 261 total respondents)

Combined Ratings For:	Natural (N = 255)	Accidental (N = 246)	Intentional (N = 250)
Primary Hazards:	Severe Storm	Utility Outage	Crime Against Property
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	7.20	7.69	7.63
Vulnerability (V):	7	7	8
Hazard Value (HZ):	206.4	218.2	208.6
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	1444.8	1527.4	1668.8
Human Impact (HI):	209.3	208.3	120.7
Economic Impact (EI):	182.5	156.3	118.7
Consequence (C) = HI + EI:	391.8	364.7	239.3

Figure 1.3. S	SME Ratings for	Primary	Hazards (	'based on 4	6 total	respondents)
---------------	-----------------	---------	-----------	-------------	---------	--------------

SME Ratings For:	Natural (N = 46)	Accidental (N = 46)	Intentional (N = 46)
Primary Hazards:	Winter Storm	Utility Outage	Crime Against Property
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	7.12	7.44	7.58
Vulnerability (V):	7	7	8
Hazard Value (HZ):	37.0	39.8	39.0
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	259	278.6	312
Human Impact (HI):	36.8	37.3	18.2
Economic Impact (EI):	31.5	26.5	18.7
Consequence (C) = HI + EI:	68.3	63.8	36.8

Figure 1.4. Community Ratings for Primary Hazards (based on 215 total respondents)

Community Ratings For:	Natural (N = 209)	Accidental (N = 200)	Intentional (N = 204)
Primary Hazards:	Severe Storm	Utility Outage	Crime Against Property
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	7.22	7.75	7.64
Vulnerability (V):	7	7	8
Hazard Value (HZ):	169.4	178.4	169.6
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	1185.8	1248.8	1356.8
Human Impact (HI):	172.5	171.0	102.5
Economic Impact (EI):	151.0	129.8	100.0
Consequence (C) = $HI + EI$ :	323.5	300.8	202.5

-- End of Part 1 --

#### For Official Use Only

## Part 2. Discussion

Outcomes from this HVRA indicate how UMBC can prioritize readiness actions towards the hazards that possess the greatest likelihood and impact.

#### A. Hazard Value (HZ) Results.

HZ is a surveyed measure of risk and asks, "how likely is it an incident of this hazard will occur on campus?" This is a measure of respondents' beliefs only and does not include actual vulnerability ratings. <u>See Attachment 2</u> for HZ values. Overall, Utility or Infrastructure Outage has the highest HZ value. Among all natural, accidental, and intentional hazards, respondents believe this is the most likely to occur on campus.

- 1. SME Assessment. SMEs gave the highest HZ value to Utility or Infrastructure Outage.
- **2. Community Assessment.** Community members gave the highest HZ value to Utility or Infrastructure Outage.

#### B. Impact Results.

There are two surveyed measures of impact severity. Human impact (HI) is asks, "what percentage of our population would be impacted if an incident of this hazard occurred?" See <u>Attachment 3</u> for HI values. Economic Impact (EI) asks, "what percentage of our economic value would be impacted if an incident of this hazard occurred?" <u>See Attachment 4</u> for EI values. Overall, IT or Communications Outage has the highest HI value. Among all natural, accidental, and intentional hazards, respondents believe this would have the greatest human impact. Overall, Severe Storm has the highest EI value. Among all natural, accidental, and intentional hazards, respondents believe the greatest economic impact

- **1. SME Assessment.** SMEs gave the highest HI value to IT or Communications Outage and the highest EI value to Severe Storm.
- **2. Community Assessment.** Community members also gave assigned the highest HI value to IT or Communications Outage. In contrast to SMEs, community members gave the highest El value to Disease Outbreak (other than COVID-19).

#### C. Consequence (C) Scores.

Each hazard receives its C score by adding its HI and EI values. <u>See Attachment 5</u> for C scores. Overall, IT or Communications Outage has the highest C score. Among all natural, accidental, and intentional hazards, respondents believe this would have the greatest overall impact on campus.

- 1. SME Assessment. SMEs gave the highest C score to IT or Communications Outage.
- **2. Community Assessment.** Community members also gave the highest C score to IT or Communications Outage.

#### D. Probability (P) Scores.

Each hazard receives its P score by multiplying its HZ value and Vulnerability (V) rating (<u>see</u> <u>Part 3</u>). <u>See Attachment 6</u> for P scores. Overall, Crime Against Property has the highest P score. This measure combines both survey respondents' beliefs and actual vulnerability ratings. We can consider this to be the most likely hazard to occur on campus.

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023



- 1. SME Assessment. SMEs gave the highest P score to Crime Against Property.
- **2. Community Assessment.** Community members also gave the highest P score to Crime Against Property.

#### E. Risk (R) Scores.

Each hazard receives its R score by adding its C and P scores. This total is then divided by the number (N) of survey respondents who answered all questions for that hazard type. <u>See</u> <u>Attachment 6</u> for more detailed results.

- **1. Primary Overall Hazard:** Among all natural, accidental, and intentional hazards, Utility or Infrastructure Outage has the highest overall R score.
- **2. Primary Natural Hazard:** Severe Storm has the highest R score among all natural hazards. SMEs gave the highest R score to Winter Storm and other community members gave the highest R score to Severe Storm.
- **3. Primary Accidental Hazard:** Utility or Infrastructure Outage has the highest R score among all accidental hazards. SMEs gave the highest R score to Utility or Infrastructure Outage as did other community members.
- **4. Primary Intentional Hazard:** Crime Against Property has the highest R score among all intentional hazards. SMEs gave the highest R score to Crime Against Property as did other community members.



Figure 2.1. Combined R Scores for all Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Hazards

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats



For Official Use Only







University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 P

Page 8 of 36

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

## **WUMBC**

For Official Use Only







University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023

Page 9 of 36

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

# **WUMBC**

For Official Use Only



-- End of Part 2 --

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page 10 of 36

## **W**UMBC

#### For Official Use Only

## Part 3. Vulnerability Assessment

The first step in the HVRA process is to complete Vulnerability Assessment Worksheets (<u>see Attachment 1</u>). These address knowns weaknesses that may increase the risk and impact of each hazard. The first worksheet combines natural and accidental hazards. The second worksheet is for only for intentional hazards. Each worksheet has seven (7) elements. Four (4) core elements are shared and three (3) are category specific. Each has a rating between 0 and 5. Higher ratings mean greater vulnerability. A core group from the UMBC Police Department and Environmental Safety & Health (ESH) completed this assessment.

#### A. Shared Elements

Both worksheets share four (4) core assessment elements:

- **1.** Criticality of Site to Jurisdiction. The usefulness of the site to the local population, economy, or government and if it is essential to jurisdictional continuity.
- 2. External Impacts. Economic/environmental/other impacts on the jurisdiction.
- 3. Potential Site Population. The site's maximum population capacity at any given time.
- **4.** Potential Collateral Mass Casualties. The potential number of mass-casualties within a one-mile radius of the site resulting from a catastrophic incident.

#### B. Natural and Accidental Hazard Elements

There are three (3) assessment elements specific to the natural/accidental hazard worksheet (these are not included in the assessment of intentional hazards):

- 1. Geographic Location. Weather, seismic activity, or other natural vulnerabilities.
- 2. Site Preparedness. Measures taken to prevent or respond to an incident.
- **3.** Hazardous Materials on Site. The quantity of material that could be the primary hazard or could complicate incident response if released.

#### C. Intentional Hazard Elements

There are three (3) assessment elements specific to the intentional hazard worksheet (these are not included in the assessment of natural and accidental hazards):

- 1. Level of Visibility. The general public's awareness of the site.
- **2.** Potential Threat Element Access. The ingress and egress availability for an individual or group that may pose a threat to the site.
- **3.** Potential Target Threat. The quantity of material that could be the primary hazard, complicate incident response if released, or be the primary target of an attack.

#### D. Vulnerability (V) Rating

The worksheet calculates a raw score by adding together the ratings of all seven assessment elements. The worksheet converts the raw score to a V rating between 1 and 12. A higher rating indicates greater vulnerability.

#### -- End of Part 3 --

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page 11 of 36

#### For Official Use Only

## Part 4. Risk Surveys

The next step in the HVRA process is to survey Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) and members of the general UMBC community (to include students, faculty, and staff). Survey results indicate which hazards they are most concerned about.

#### A. Online Risk Surveys.

UMBC utilizes two online surveys: one for SMEs and one for general community members. They provide each target group with the same examples of natural, accidental, and intentional hazards, and the same rating options for risk and impacts. The objective of this step is to determine any gaps between what our SMEs "know" and what the general UMBC community "believes." These gaps can then be closed with training, preparedness, and prevention measures. Areas where both groups agree on risk and severity illustrate where we must focus training, preparedness, and prevention efforts.

- 1. Surveying SMEs. The <u>UMBC Subject Matter Expert Risk Survey</u> is coordinated with select staff members considered to have informed, "insider" knowledge of the risks and impacts from potential hazards. Contributors must have functional ownership over response or mitigation capabilities or oversee areas of responsibility that are likely to be impacted by an incident. The survey collects respondents' Email address and requires them to select their work Division from a provided list. Survey responses are collected using an <u>online spreadsheet</u>.
- 2. Surveying Community Members. The <u>UMBC Community Risk Survey</u> is coordinated with the Staff, Faculty, and Student Senates to maximize participation from the entire campus community. Contributors do not need any special knowledge to answer this survey; it simply requests individual opinions. This survey is anonymous and only requires respondents to indicate if they are faculty, staff, or students. Survey responses are also collected using an <u>online spreadsheet</u>
- **3. Descriptions and instructions.** Both surveys have identical definitions for "hazard," "risk," and "impact" as stated in the table below:

Term	Definition
Hazard	For the purpose of this survey, a hazard is a potential source of harm. There are three (3) categories of hazards we want to ask you about: 1) Natural Hazards; 2) Accidental Hazards; and 3) Intentional Hazards. We'll give you an explanation of each category as you go through the survey.
Risk	For the purpose of this survey, risk means how likely you think it is that a specific hazard may occur on campus. For the purpose of this survey, this means the likelihood that an incident will occur on campus. You will be asked to rate this on a scale of 0 to 10. A higher rating means greater likelihood of occurrence.
Impact	For the purpose of this survey, impact means how serious you think the consequences would be if a specific hazard were to occur on campus. You'll be asked to rate the human and economic impacts of specific hazards on a scale of 0 to 5: 0 means no impact, 1 means impacts are not very serious; and 5 means impacts are very serious.

Figure 4.1. Survey Definitions

**UMBC** 



#### For Official Use Only

- 4. Rating Risk. For each hazard type, both surveys ask, "how likely is it this will occur on campus?" Respondents rate risk for each hazard type by selecting a rating between 0 and 10 (0 = will not occur, 10 = certain to occur).
- 5. Rating Impact. For each hazard type, both surveys assess human Impact and economic impact (see the table below for each assessment question). Respondents rate human and economic impact for each hazard type by selecting a rating between 0 and 5 (0 = No impact, 1 - less than 1%, 2 = less than 10%, 3 = less than 25%, 4 = less than 50%, and 5 = 50% or more).

#### Figure 4.2. Impact Assessments

Impact Type	Assessment Question
Human Impact	What percentage of the University population would be impacted if this occurred?
Economic Impact	What percentage of the University's economic value would be impacted if this occurred?

#### B. Hazard Questions.

Both surveys address the same set of natural, accidental, and intentional hazards.

- 1. Natural Hazards. These are sources of harm in the natural environment not caused by human activity. The survey addresses four (4) types of hazards: 1) earthquakes; 2) floods; 3) severe storms; and 4) winter storms.
- 2. Accidental Hazards. These are sources of harm from human activity and any resulting impacts are unintentional. The survey addresses eight (8) types of hazards: 1) an aircraft crash (given our proximity to an airport); 2) a fire emergency (occurring inside a building); 3) an accidental release of hazardous materials; 4) an infectious disease outbreak (other than COVID-19); 5) IT or communications outages; 6) medical emergencies; 7) a utility or infrastructure outage (water, power, heating or cooling systems, elevators, or fire alarm and sprinkler system outages); and 8) other external events (events initiated by an agency or organization outside of UMBC, e.g. activation of a FEMA shelter on campus, a search for a patient missing from a local hospital, or diversion of traffic through campus due to an accident on a nearby highway).
- 3. Intentional Hazards. These are sources of harm from deliberate human activity and impacts are intentional. The survey addresses six (6) types: 1) terrorist threats; 2) an active shooter or workplace violence; 3) a campus evacuation (resulting from a bomb or explosive threat); 4) civil disturbances (riots or violent protests); 5) crimes against persons (any form of assault on an individual or group); and 6) crimes against property (any form of theft or intentional damage caused to property owned by an individual or owned by the University).

-- End of Part 4 --

#### For Official Use Only

**W**UMBC

## Part 5. Scoring

Risk and impact receive weighted scores using the following method:

#### A. Survey Scores

Results from the SME survey are stated in Attachment 2 and results from the community survey are stated in Attachment 3. Results are grouped by three (3) distinct scores:

1. Hazard Value (HZ): This is the overall rating given the question "how likely is it this (hazard) will occur on campus?" A value for each specific hazard is determined by multiplying the number of survey respondents who selected a specific likelihood for that hazard by the weighted value of that likelihood. "10 (Will occur)" has a weighted value of 5. "7 - 9 (Likely to occur)" has a weighted value of 4. "4 - 6 (Might occur)" has a weighted value of 3. "1 - 3 (Unlikely to occur)" has a weighted value of 2. "0 (Will not occur)" has a weighted value of 1. All weighted values are added and the total is divided by the five (5) score groups for a weighted average. The hazard in each of the categories with the highest weighted average carries the highest perceived risk, or Hazard Value, in its category among the survey respondents

#### Figure 5.1. HZ Calculation Example

- Two (2) respondents indicate their belief that an earthquake "*will occur*" on campus (a 10 on the survey risk scale). Their weighted HZ Value for that hazard is 5 x 2 = 10.
- Seven (7) respondents indicate their belief that an earthquake is "*likely to occur*" (by selecting 7, 8, or 9 on the survey risk scale). **Their weighted value is 7 x 4 = 28.**
- 20 indicate "might occur." Their weighted HZ Value is 20 x 3 = 60.
- 89 indicate "unlikely to occur." Their weighted HZ Value is 89 x 2 = 178.
- 8 indicate "will not occur." Their weighted HZ Value is 8 x 1 = 8.
- Weighted HZ Values for each group are added and the total is divided by the five (5) score groups to give a weighted average HZ Value of 56.8 for an earthquake.
- HZ = 10 + 28 + 60 + 178 + 8 = 284/5 = 56.8.
  - 2. Human Impact (HI). This is the overall rating given the question "what percentage of the University population would be impacted if this occurred?" A value for each specific hazard is determined by multiplying the number of survey respondents who selected a degree of impact for that hazard by the weighted value of that impact. "5 (50% or more)" has a weighted value of 6. "4 (Less than 50%)" has a weighted value of 5. "3 (Less than 25%)" has a weighted value of 4. "2 (Less than 10%)" has a weighted value of 3. "1 (Less than 50%)" has a weighted value of 2. "0 (no impact)" has a weighted value of 1. All weighted values are added and the total is divided by the six (6) score groups for a weighted average. The hazard in each of the categories with the highest weighted average carries the highest perceived Human Impact in its category among the survey respondents.

#### For Official Use Only

**W**UMBC

#### Figure 5.2. HI Calculation Example

- Twenty (20) survey respondents indicate their belief that an earthquake will impact "50% or more" of the people on campus (a 5 on the survey impact scale). Their weighted HI for that hazard is 20 x 6 = 120.
- Ten (10) indicate an earthquake will impact "*less than 50*%" of the people on campus (a 4 on the survey impact scale). **Their weighted HI for that hazard is 10 x 5 = 50.**
- Twenty-two (22) indicate "less than 25%" (**HI = 22 x 4 = 88**).
- Twenty-six (26) indicate "less than 10%" (**HI = 26 x 3 = 78**).
- Thirty-nine (39) indicate "less than 1%" (**HI = 39 x 2 = 78**).
- Eight (8) indicate "no impact" (**HI = 8 x 1 = 8**).
- HI = 120 + 50 + 88 + 78 + 78 + 8 = 422/6 = 70.3.
  - **3.** Economic Impact (EI) Value. This is the overall rating given the question "what percentage of the University's economic value would be impacted if this occurred?" Weighted averages for Economic Impact are determined using the same method and values described above for Human Impact. The hazard in each of the categories with the highest weighted average carries the highest perceived Economic Impact in its category among the survey respondents.

#### B. Assessment Scores.

Each specific hazard type within the natural, accidental, and intentional categories receives a Consequence Score, a Probability Score, and a Risk Value.

- Consequence (C) Score. This score is determined by adding the Human Impact and Economic Impact for each hazard together resulting in a total impact rating for that hazard: C = HI + EI. The Consequence Score indicates the total perceived impact that a hazard incident would have on campus. The highest Consequence Score indicates the hazard with the highest potential impact.
- 2. Probability (P) Score. Probability, in terms of this HVRA, reflect the assessments of those who address knowns weaknesses, combined with the perceptions of those who responded to the surveys. For the purposes of this assessment, a perceived probability score (P) for each hazard is determined by multiplying the Vulnerability Rating (derived from the Vulnerability Assessment Worksheets (see Attachment 1) and the Hazard Value:  $P = V \times HZ$ .
- **3. Risk (R) Score.** Risk is determined by adding the Probability Score and the total Consequence Score: R = P + C. This total is then divided by the total number (N) of respondents who answered all survey questions for that specific hazard (accounting for any who did not provide a response to one of the questions): R = (P + C) / N. This puts all combined, SME, and community R score for each hazard type on the same scale for comparison. The hazard type with the greatest score in each category is determined to be the primary natural, accidental, and intentional hazard. The greatest R score among all hazards represents our primary overall hazard.

#### -- End of Part 5 --

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

#### For Official Use Only

## Attachment 1: Vulnerability Assessment Worksheets

#### Vulnerability Assessment Worksheet 1: Natural and Accidental Hazards

Assessment Elements	Value
1. <u>Geographic Location</u> : Assess the site's vulnerability to haza	dous weather, <b>4</b>
seismic activity, or other natural hazards.	
0 = Will not occur 2 = Somewhat possible 4 = Likely to o	cur/high
1 = Unlikely to occur 3 = Moderately possible 5 = Will occur	extremely high
2. <u>Criticality of Site to Jurisdiction</u> : Assess usefulness of the si	e to the local 3
population, economy, or government and if essential to jurisdic	ional continuity.
0 = No usefulness 2 = Moderate usefulness 4 = Highly use	
1 = Minor usefulness 3 = Significant usefulness 5 = Critical or	ssential
3. <u>External Impacts</u> : Assess economic, environmental, and oth	er impacts. 3
0 = None 2 = Low 4 = High	
1 = Very low 3 = Medium 5 = Very high	
<b>4.</b> <u>Site Preparedness</u> : Assess the measures taken at site to preto an incident (plans, organization, equipment, training, exercise	vent or respond <b>1</b> s = POETE).
<ul> <li>0 = Detailed plans exist. Personnel are well organized, equipped, trained, frequently et 1 = Acceptable plans exist. Personnel are organized, equipped, trained, annually exer</li> <li>2 = Plans need details/update. Personnel are organized, equipped, trained, infrequen</li> <li>3 = Some plans in place. Some personnel organized, equipped, trained but rarely exer</li> <li>4 = Minimal planning. Minimal personnel organized, equipped, trained but never exer</li> <li>5 = No plans in place. No personnel organized, equipped, trained nor exercised.</li> </ul>	ercised. sed. y exercised. cised. ised.
5. Hazardous Materials on Site: Assess quantities of hazardou	material that 2
could be the primary hazard if released or could complicate inc	dent response.
$0 = N_0$ hazardous materials present	
1 = Moderate quantities, controlled, with positive containment, in secure locations with	alarms.
2 = Moderate quantities, controlled with positive containment and with alarms.	
3 = Major concentrations with established control features under positive containment	vith alarms.
4 = Major concentrations with moderate control features and containment. $5 = Major concentrations easily accessible unprotected and have no containment$	
Betantial Site Population: Maximum population at the site	tany given time <b>3</b>
Potential Site Population     Maximum population at the site of	
0 = None 2 = Between 250 and 5,000 4 = Between 15 1 = Up to 250 3 = Between 5 000 and 15 000 5 = Over 50 000	000 and 50,000
7 Botontial Collatoral Mass Casualties: Within a one mile rad	
Potential Collateral Mass Casualties. Within a one-fille rad	<b>4</b>
0 = None 2 = Between 250 and 5,000 4 = Between 15 1 = Up to 250 3 = Between 5,000 and 15,000 5 = Over 50,000	000 and 50,000
Calculate the raw score by adding the value	of elements 1 - 7 20
8 Vulnerability (V) Rating: Convert the raw score to a rating:	
1 = 0.2 $1 = 9.11$ $7 - 18.20$	= 27 - 29
2 = 3 - 5 $5 = 12 - 14$ $8 = 21 - 23$ 12	= 30 - 32
3 = 6 - 8     6 = 15 - 17     9 = 24 - 26     12	= 33 - 35

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Pag

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

#### For Official Use Only

#### Vulnerability Assessment Worksheet 2: Intentional Hazards

Assessment Elements	Value
<b>1.</b> Level of Visibility: Assess the general public's awareness of the site.	4
0 = Secret/classified Location2 = Location not well known4 = Location known regionally1 = Location not publicized3 = Location known locally5 = Location known nationally	
2. <u>Criticality of Site to Jurisdiction</u> : Assess usefulness of the site to the local	3
population, economy, or government and if essential to jurisdictional continuity.	
0 = No usefulness 2 = Moderate usefulness 4 = Highly useful	
1 = Minor usefulness     3 = Significant usefulness     5 = Critical or essential	
<b>3.</b> <u>External Impacts</u> : Assess economic, environmental, and other impacts.	3
0 = None 2 = Low 4 = High	
1 = Very low 3 = Medium 5 = Very high	
<ul> <li>4. Potential Threat Element Access: Assess availability for ingress and egress.</li> <li>0 = Security patrol 24/7, fenced, alarmed, CCTV, controlled access requiring prior clearance, designated parking, no authorized parking within 300 feet, protected air/consumable entry.</li> <li>1 = Security patrolled 24/7, fenced, alarmed, controlled vehicle and personnel access, designated parking, no unauthorized parking within 300 feet, protected air/consumable entry.</li> <li>2 = Security at main entrance during business hours, fenced, alarmed, controlled visitor access, designated parking, no unauthorized parking within 300 feet, protected air/consumable entry.</li> <li>3 = Controlled visitor access, alarmed after business hours, designated parking, no unauthorized vehicle parking within 50 feet, protected air/consumable entry.</li> <li>4 = Open during business hours, locked after business hours, unprotected air/consumable entry.</li> <li>5 = Unlimited, open access, unprotected air/consumable entry.</li> <li>5 = Major concentrations with positive containment, in secure locations with alarms.</li> <li>2 = Moderate quantities, controlled, with positive containment and with alarms.</li> <li>3 = Major concentrations with moderate control features and containment.</li> <li>5 = Major concentrations easily accessible, unprotected, and have no containment.</li> </ul>	4 <sup>ng</sup> e 2
6. <u>Potential Site Population</u> : Maximum population at the site at any given time.	3
0 = None 2 = Between 250 and 5,000 4 = Between 15,000 and 50,000	
1 = Up to 250 3 = Between 5,000 and 15,000 5 = Over 50,000	
7. <u>Potential Collateral Mass Casualties</u> : Within a one-mile radius.	4
0 = None 2 = Between 250 and 5,000 4 = Between 15,000 and 50,000	
1 = Up to 250         3 = Between 5,000 and 15,000         5 = Over 50,000	
Calculate the raw score by adding the value of elements 1 -	7 23
8. <u>Vulnerability (V) Rating</u> : Convert the raw score to a rating:	
1 = 0 - 2     4 = 9 - 11     7 = 18 - 20     10 = 27 - 29	8
2 = 3 - 5 $5 = 12 - 14$ $8 = 21 - 23$ $11 = 30 - 323 = 6 - 8$ $6 = 15 - 17$ $9 - 24 - 26$ $12 - 32 - 25$	
<u>5 - 5 - 6 - 15 - 17</u> 7 = 24 - 20 12 = 53 - 55	

#### -- End of Attachment 1 --

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

## **WUMBC**

For Official Use Only

## Attachment 2: Hazard Values (HZ)

The following data tables show combined survey results as well as SME and community survey results for this measure.

Figure A2.1.	Hazard	Values fo	r Natural Hazaı	rds

	ses. Respondents a	assigi	ied th	enig	nest c	.omb	meui	12 10	vvinte	Storm.
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	od	Sev Sto	vere orm	Wir Sto	nter rm!	
10	(Will occur)	4	20	6	30	78	390	77	385	
7 -	9 (Likely to occur)	16	64	43	172	118	472	117	468	
4 -	6 (Might occur)	54	162	77	231	43	129	51	153	
1 -	3 (Unlikely to occur)	176	352	121	242	20	40	16	32	
0	(Will not occur)	11	11	11	11	1	1	0	0	
	HZ Value:		121.8		137.2		206.4		207.6	
<b>E Survey Responses:</b> S	MEs assigned the h	nighes	st HZ t	o <b>Wi</b>	nter S	Storm	<b>)</b> .			
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	od	Sev Sto	vere orm	Wir Sto	nter rm!	
10	(Will occur)	0	0	1	5	12	60	12	60	
7 -	9 (Likely to occur)	2	8	9	36	27	108	29	116	
4 -	6 (Might occur)	15	45	14	42	3	9	3	9	
1 -	3 (Unlikely to occur)	28	56	21	42	4	8	2	4	
0	(Will not occur)	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	
	HZ Value:		22.0		25.2		37.0		37.8	
nmunity Survey Respo	nses: Community r	nemb	ers as	signe	ed the	e high	iest H	Z to <b>V</b>	Vinter	Storm.
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	od	Sev Sto	vere orm	Wir Sto	nter rm!	
10	(Will occur)	4	20	5	25	66	330	65	325	
7 -	9 (Likely to occur)	14	56	34	136	91	364	88	352	
4 -	6 (Might occur)	39	117	63	189	40	120	48	144	
1 -	3 (Unlikely to occur)	148	296	100	200	16	32	14	28	
0	(Will not occur)	10	10	10	10	1	1	0	0	
		•								

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page 18 of 36

#### For Official Use Only

	-,					_				_		_			-	_	_
Scale		Airc Cra	raft ash	Build Fi	ding re	Haz Rele	Mat ease	Dise Outb	ase reak	Com Out	ims age	Med Emerg	lical gency	Uti Outa	lity age!	Exte Eve	rnal ent
10 (Will occur	.)	0	0	18	90	10	50	34	170	79	395	89	445	132	660	40	200
7 - 9 (Likely to c	occur)	9	36	77	308	42	168	72	288	112	448	67	268	73	292	75	300
4 - 6 (Might occ	ur)	34	102	95	285	88	264	82	246	53	159	53	159	36	108	70	210
1 - 3 (Unlikely to	o occur)	189	378	68	136	113	226	70	140	17	34	47	94	15	30	69	138
0 (Will not oc	cur)	29	29	1	1	5	5	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	4
	HZ Value:		109.0		164.0		142.6		169.0		207.2		193.4		218.2		170.4
SME Survey Responses: SMEs assigned the highest HZ to Utility Outage.																	
Scale		Airc Cra	raft ash	Build Fi	ding re	Haz Rele	Mat ase	Dise Outb	ease reak	Com Out	ims age	Med Emerg	lical gency	Uti Outa	lity age!	Exte Eve	rnal ent
10 (Will occur	;)	0	0	5	25	4	20	3	15	12	60	22	110	24	120	7	35
7 - 9 (Likely to c	occur)	1	4	16	64	11	44	10	40	24	96	13	52	15	60	17	68
4 - 6 (Might occ	;ur)	8	24	16	48	19	57	20	60	7	21	7	21	5	15	11	33
1 - 3 (Unlikely to	o occur)	35	70	9	18	12	24	13	26	3	6	4	8	2	4	11	22
0 (Will not oc	cur)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_			_	-
	HZ Value:		20.0		31.0		29.0		28.2		36.6		38.2		39.8		31.6
ommunity Su	HZ Value: rvey Resp	onses	20.0 5: Co	mmu	<b>31.0</b> nity m	າemb	29.0 ers as	signe	28.2 d the	high	<b>36.6</b> est Hž	Z to <b>U</b>	38.2 Itility	Outa	39.8 age.		31.6
ommunity Su	HZ Value: rvey Resp	onse: Airc Cra	20.0 s: Co raft ish	mmu Build Fit	31.0 nity m ding re	nemb Haz Rele	29.0 ers as Mat ase	signe Dise Outb	28.2 ed the ase reak	high Com Out	36.6 est H2 Ims age	Z to U Med Emerç	38.2 Itility lical Jency	Outa Uti Outa	39.8 age. lity age!	Exte	31.( rnal ent
ommunity Su Scale 10 (Will occur	HZ Value: rvey Resp	Onse: Airc Cra	20.0 s: Co raft ish 0	mmu Buik Fir 13	31.0 nity m ding re 65	nemb Haz Rele	29.0 ers as Mat ase 30	signe Dise Outb	28.2 ed the reak 155	high Com Out 67	36.6 est H2 ms age 335	Z to U Med Emerç 67	38.2 Jtility lical gency 335	Outa Uti Outa 108	39.8 age. lity age! 540	Exte Eve	31.( rnal ent 165
ommunity Sur Scale 10 (Will occur 7 - 9 (Likely to c	HZ Value: rvey Resp ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	Onse: Airc Cra 0 8	20.0 s: Co raft ash 0 32	mmu Buik Fi 13 61	31.0 nity m ding re 65 244	Haz Haz Rele	29.0 ers as Mat ase 30 124	Dise Outb 31 62	28.2 ed the reak 155 248	high Con Out 67 88	36.6 est H. ims age 335 352	Z to U Med Emerg 67 54	38.2 Jtility lical gency 335 216	Outa Uti Outa 108 58	39.8 age. lity age! 540 232	Exte Eve 33 58	31.( rnal ent 165 232
ommunity Sur Scale 10 (Will occur 7 - 9 (Likely to c 4 - 6 (Might occ	HZ Value: rvey Resp ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	Onse: Airc Cra 0 8 26	20.0 s: Co raft ash 0 32 78	mmu Buik 13 61 79	31.0 nity n ding re 65 244 237	Haz Haz 6 31 69	29.0 ers as Mat ase 30 124 207	signe Dise Outb 31 62 62	28.2 ed the reak 155 248 186	high Con Out 67 88 46	36.6 est H. nms age 335 352 138	Z to <b>U</b> Med Emerg 67 54 46	38.2 <b>Itility</b> lical gency 335 216 138	<b>Outa</b> Uti Outa 108 58 31	39.8 age. lity age! 540 232 93	<b>Exte</b> <b>Eve</b> 33 58 59	31.( rnal ent 165 232 177
Scale 10 (Will occur 7 - 9 (Likely to c 4 - 6 (Might occ 1 - 3 (Unlikely to	HZ Value: rvey Resp ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	Onse:           Airc           Cra           0           8           26           154	20.0 s: Co rraft ash 0 32 78 308	mmu Buik Fi 13 61 79 59	31.0 nity m ding re 65 244 237 118	1emb Haz Rele 6 31 69 101	29.0 ers as mat ase 30 124 207 202	Dise Outb 31 62 62 57	28.2 ed the reak 155 248 186 114	<ul> <li>high</li> <li>Con</li> <li>Out</li> <li>67</li> <li>88</li> <li>46</li> <li>14</li> </ul>	36.6 est H. ims age 335 352 138 28	Z to U Med Emerg 67 54 46 43	38.2 Jtility Jical Jency 335 216 138 86	Outa Out 108 58 31 13	39.8 age. lity age! 540 232 93 26	Exte Eve 33 58 59 58	31.0 ernal ent 165 232 177 116
Scale           10         (Will occur           7 - 9         (Likely to c           4 - 6         (Might occ           1 - 3         (Unlikely to c           0         (Will not occ	HZ Value: rvey Resp ) ) occur) ur) o occur) ;cur)	Onse:           Airc           Cra           0           8           26           154           27	20.0 s: Co rraft ash 0 32 78 308 27	mmu Buil 13 61 79 59	31.0 nity n ding re 65 244 237 118 1	Haz Rele 6 31 69 101 5	29.0 ers as mat ase 30 124 207 202 5	<b>Dise</b> <b>Outb</b> 31 62 62 57	28.2 ed the reak 155 248 186 114 1	<ul> <li>high</li> <li>Con</li> <li>Out</li> <li>67</li> <li>88</li> <li>46</li> <li>14</li> <li>0</li> </ul>	36.6 est H. ms age 335 352 138 28 0	Z to <b>U</b> <b>Med</b> <b>Emerg</b> 67 54 46 43 1	38.2 <b>Itility</b> Jical gency 335 216 138 86 1	Outa Uti Outa 108 58 31 13 13	39.8 age. lity age! 540 232 93 26 1	Exte Eve 33 58 59 58 4	31.0 Frnal Ent 165 232 177 116 4

#### Figure A2.2. Hazard Values for Accidental Hazards

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page 2

#### For Official Use Only

**W**UMBC

#### Figure A2.3. Hazard Values for Intentional Hazards



-- End of Attachment 2 --

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page 20 of 36

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

For Official Use Only

## Attachment 3: Human Impact (HI) Values

The following data tables show combined survey results as well as SME and community survey results for this measure.

		1102	urus						
Combined Survey Resp	oonses: Respondents a	assigr	ned th	e hig	hest c	comb	ined H	HI to N	Winte
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	ood	Sev Sto	vere orm	Wir Sto	nter rm!
	5 (50% or more)	60	360	41	246	117	702	162	972
	4 (Less than 50%)	23	115	40	200	53	265	26	130
	3 (Less than 25%)	38	152	56	224	40	160	29	116
	2 (Less than 10%)	63	189	75	225	35	105	24	72
	1 (Less than 1%)	61	122	37	74	11	22	17	34
	0 (No impact)	15	15	9	9	2	2	1	1
	HI Value:		158.8		163.0		209.3		220.8
1E Survey Responses	<b>::</b> SMEs assigned the h	ighes	st HI to	o Wir	iter S	torm			
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	ood	Sev Sto	vere orm	Wir Sto	nter rm!
	5 (50% or more)	11	66	4	24	22	132	30	180
	4 (Less than 50%)	6	30	5	25	6	30	4	20
	3 (Less than 25%)	5	20	11	44	8	32	5	20
	2 (Less than 10%)	13	39	19	57	7	21	2	6
	1 (Less than 1%)	8	16	7	14	3	6	5	10
	0 (No impact)	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HI Value:		29.0		27.3		36.8		39.3
ommunity Survey Res	<b>ponses:</b> Community n	nemb	ers as	ssigne	ed the	e high	iest H	l to <b>N</b>	/inter
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	ood	Sev Sto	vere orm	Wir Sto	nter rm!
	5 (50% or more)	49	294	37	222	95	570	132	792
	4 (Less than 50%)	17	85	35	175	47	235	22	110
	3 (Less than 25%)	33	132	45	180	32	128	24	96
	2 (Less than 10%)	50	150	56	168	28	84	22	66
	1 (Less than 1%)	53	106	30	60	8	16	12	24
	0 (No impact)	12	12	9	9	2	2	1	1
	HI Value:		129.8		135.7		172.5		181.5

Figure A3.1. Human Impact for Natural Hazards

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page

#### For Official Use Only

Figure A3.2.	Human	Impact for A	Accidental	Hazards

Scale	Airo Cra	craft ash	Buil Fi	ding re	Haz Rele	Mat ease	Dise Outb	ease oreak	Con Out	nms age!	Mea Emer	dical gency	Uti Out	lity age	Exte Eve	ernal ent
5 (50% or more)	50	300	16	96	35	210	106	636	204	1224	18	108	121	726	36	216
4 (Less than 50%)	33	165	31	155	29	145	45	225	23	115	12	60	46	230	37	18
3 (Less than 25%)	39	156	58	232	37	148	47	188	18	72	28	112	42	168	52	208
2 (Less than 10%)	50	150	101	303	84	252	38	114	6	18	69	207	34	102	67	201
1 (Less than 1%)	68	136	51	102	68	136	21	42	8	16	124	248	11	22	55	11(
0 (No impact)	19	19	2	2	4	4	1	1	1	1	4	4	2	2	7	7
HI Value:		154.3		148.3		149.2		201.0		241.0		123.2		208.3		154
E Survey Responses:	SME	s assi	gned	the h	ighes	st HI to	o Cor	nms C	Dutag	je.						
Scale	Airo Cra	craft ash	Buil Fi	ding re	Haz Rele	Mat ease	Dise Outb	ease oreak	Con Out	nms age!	Med Emer	dical gency	Uti Out	lity age	Exte Eve	ernal ent
5 (50% or more)	12	72	0	0	2	12	13	78	37	222	0	0	18	108	4	24
4 (Less than 50%)	7	35	8	40	6	30	6	30	4	20	2	10	11	55	5	25
3 (Less than 25%)	7	28	11	44	4	16	10	40	3	12	2	8	11	44	11	44
2 (Less than 10%)	10	30	16	48	20	60	10	30	0	0	11	33	5	15	10	30
1 (Less than 1%)	9	18	11	22	14	28	7	14	2	4	30	60	1	2	14	28
0 (No impact)	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2
HI Value:		30.7		25.7		24.3		32.0		43.0		18.7		37.3		25.
mmunity Survey Resp	onse	<b>s:</b> Co	mmu	nity n	nemb	ers as	ssigne	ed the	high	est H	l to <b>C</b>	omm	s Out	age.		
Saala	Airc	raft	Buil	ding	Haz	Mat	Dise	ease	Con	nms	Med	dical	Uti	lity	Exte	rnal
Julie	Cra	ash	Fi	re	Rele	ease	Outb	reak	Out	age!	Emer	gency	Out	age	Eve	ent
		000	16	96	33	198	93	558	167	1002	18	108	103	618	32	19
5 (50% or more)	38	228				-	20	195	19	95	10	50	35	175	32	16
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%)	38 26	228 130	23	115	23	115	39	100								
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%) 3 (Less than 25%)	38 26 32	228 130 128	23 47	115 188	23 33	115 132	39 37	148	15	60	26	104	31	124	41	16
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%) 3 (Less than 25%) 2 (Less than 10%)	38 26 32 40	130 128 120	23 47 85	115 188 255	23 33 64	115 132 192	39 37 28	148 84	15 6	60 18	26 58	104 174	31 29	124 87	41 57	16 17
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%) 3 (Less than 25%) 2 (Less than 10%) 1 (Less than 1%)	38 26 32 40 59	228 130 128 120 118	23 47 85 40	115 188 255 80	23 33 64 54	115 132 192 108	39 37 28 14	148 84 28	15 6 6	60 18 12	26 58 94	104 174 188	31 29 10	124 87 20	41 57 41	164 17 <sup>-</sup> 82

#### For Official Use Only

#### Figure A3.3. Human Impact for Intentional Hazards



-- End of Attachment 3 --

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

#### For Official Use Only

## Attachment 4: Economic Impact (EI) Values

The following data tables show combined survey results as well as SME and community survey results for this measure.

Combined Survey Resp	oonses: Respondents a	assigr	ned th	e hig	hest c	comb	ined E	El to <b>S</b>	Sever
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	ood	Sev Sto	/ere rm!	Wir Sto	nter orm
	5 (50% or more)	24	144	27	162	51	306	39	234
	4 (Less than 50%)	29	145	41	205	60	300	29	145
	3 (Less than 25%)	48	192	67	268	64	256	65	260
	2 (Less than 10%)	77	231	77	231	68	204	87	261
	1 (Less than 1%)	60	120	36	72	13	26	37	74
	0 (No impact)	20	20	10	10	3	3	3	3
	El Value:		142.0		158.0		182.5		162.8
SME Survey Responses	: SMEs assigned the h	ighes	st El to	Sev	ere St	torm.			
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	ood	Sev Sto	/ere /rm!	Wir Sto	nter orm
	5 (50% or more)	4	24	5	30	9	54	6	36
	4 (Less than 50%)	8	40	5	25	7	35	4	20
	3 (Less than 25%)	8	32	8	32	14	56	13	52
	2 (Less than 10%)	14	42	21	63	12	36	15	45
	1 (Less than 1%)	10	20	7	14	4	8	8	16
	0 (No impact)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	El Value:		26.7		27.3		31.5		28.2
Community Survey Res	<b>ponses:</b> Community n	nemb	ers as	signe	ed the	high	nest El	to Se	evere
	Scale	Earth	quake	Flo	bod	Sev Sto	vere orm!	Wir Sto	nter orm
	5 (50% or more)	20	120	22	132	42	252	33	198
	4 (Less than 50%)	21	105	36	180	53	265	25	125
	3 (Less than 25%)	40	160	59	236	50	200	52	208
	2 (Less than 10%)	63	189	56	168	56	168	72	216
	1 (Less than 1%)	50	100	29	58	9	18	29	58
	0 (No impact)	18	18	10	10	3	3	3	3
	El Value:		115.3		130.7		151.0		134.7

Figure A4.1. Economic Impact for Natural Hazards

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page

#### For Official Use Only

**W**UMBC

	mses	: Res	oond	ents a	issign	ied th	e hig	hest c	ombi	ined l	=l to <b>[</b>	Diseas	se Ou	itbrea	ak.	
Scale	Airc Cr:	raft ash	Buil Fi	ding ire	Haz Rele	Mat ease	Dise Outb	ease reak!	Con Out	nms age	Med Emer	dical gency	Uti Out	lity age	Exte Eve	ernal ent
5 (50% or more)	45	270	24	144	21	126	72	432	76	456	10	60	42	252	13	78
4 (Less than 50%)	35	175	30	150	29	145	39	195	29	145	11	55	26	130	20	10
3 (Less than 25%)	49	196	70	280	51	204	54	216	57	228	25	100	52	208	35	14
2 (Less than 10%)	63	189	93	279	91	273	61	183	58	174	54	162	82	246	59	17
1 (Less than 1%)	49	98	40	80	59	118	31	62	39	78	122	244	49	98	110	22
0 (No impact)	19	19	3	3	6	6	2	2	1	1	34	34	4	4	19	19
El Value:		157.8		156.0		145.3		181.7		180.3		109.2		156.3		122
SME Survey Responses: SMEs assigned the highest El to Aircraft Crash.																
Scale	Airc Cra	raft ish!	Buil Fi	ding ire	Haz Rele	Mat ease	Dise Outb	ease oreak	Con Out	nms age	Med Emer	dical gency	Uti Out	lity age	Exte Eve	erna ent
5 (50% or more)	9	54	0	0	1	6	10	60	12	72	0	0	2	12	1	6
4 (Less than 50%)	10	50	9	45	6	30	3	15	4	20	2	10	6	30	3	1!
3 (Less than 25%)	9	36	15	60	9	36	10	40	10	40	1	4	12	48	4	- 10
2 (Less than 10%)	9	27	15	45	17	51	12	36	9	27	9	27	17	51	13	- 39
1 (Less than 1%)	8	16	7	14	13	26	10	20	11	22	25	50	9	18	23	46
0 (No impact)	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	9	9	0	0	2	2
El Value:		30.7		27.3		24.8		28.7		30.2		16.7		26.5		20.
ommunity Survey Resp	onse	<b>s:</b> Co	mmu	inity n	nemb	ers as	ssigne	ed the	high	est E	l to <b>D</b> i	isease	e Out	breal	k.	
Scale	Airc	raft ash	Buil Fi	ding re	Haz Rele	Mat ease	Dise Outb	ease reak!	Con Out	nms age	Med Emer	dical gency	Uti Out	lity age	Exte Eve	erna ent
	36	216	24	144	20	120	62	372	64	384	10	60	40	240	12	72
5 (50% or more)				105	23	115	36	180	25	125	9	45	20	100	17	85
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%)	25	125	21	105												
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%) 3 (Less than 25%)	25 40	125 160	21 55	105 220	42	168	44	176	47	188	24	96	40	160	31	12
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%) 3 (Less than 25%) 2 (Less than 10%)	25 40 54	125 160 162	21 55 78	105 220 234	42 74	168 222	44 49	176 147	47 49	188 147	24 45	96 135	40 65	160 195	31 46	12 13
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%) 3 (Less than 25%) 2 (Less than 10%) 1 (Less than 1%)	25 40 54 41	125 160 162 82	21 55 78 33	105 220 234 66	42 74 46	168 222 92	44 49 21	176 147 42	47 49 28	188 147 56	24 45 97	96 135 194	40 65 40	160 195 80	31 46 87	12 13 17
5 (50% or more) 4 (Less than 50%) 3 (Less than 25%) 2 (Less than 10%) 1 (Less than 1%) 0 (No impact)	25 40 54 41 18	125 160 162 82 18	21 55 78 33 3	105 220 234 66 3	42 74 46 6	168 222 92 6	44 49 21 1	176 147 42 1	47 49 28 1	188 147 56 1	24 45 97 25	96 135 194 25	40 65 40 4	160 195 80 4	31 46 87 17	12 13 17 17

#### Figure A4.2. Economic Impact for Accidental Hazards

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page 25 of 36

#### For Official Use Only

**WUMBC** 

#### Figure A4.3. Economic Impact for Intentional Hazards



-- End of Attachment 4 -

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

For Official Use Only

**W**UMBC

## Attachment 5: Consequence (C) Scores

The following data tables show combined survey results as well as SME and community survey results for these scores.

Figure A5.1. Consequence Scores for Natural Hazards

**Combined Survey Responses:** Respondents assigned the highest combined C score to **Severe Storm**.

Natural Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Earthquake	Flood	Severe Storm	Winter Storm
Human Impact (HI):	158.8	163.0	209.3	220.8
Economic Impact (EI):	142.0	158.0	182.5	162.8
(C) = HI + EI:	300.8	321.0	391.8	383.7

SME Survey Responses: SMEs assigned the highest C score to Severe Storm.

Natural Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Earthquake	Flood	Severe Storm	Winter Storm
Human Impact (HI):	29.0	27.3	36.8	39.3
Economic Impact (EI):	26.7	27.3	31.5	28.2
(C) = HI + EI:	55.7	54.7	68.3	67.5

**Community Survey Responses:** Community members assigned the highest C score to **Severe Storm**.

Natural Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Earthquake	Flood	Severe Storm	Winter Storm
Human Impact (HI):	129.8	135.7	172.5	181.5
Economic Impact (EI):	115.3	130.7	151.0	134.7
(C) = HI + EI:	245.2	266.3	323.5	316.2

#### For Official Use Only

**W**UMBC

#### Figure A5.2. Consequence Scores for Accidental Hazards

**Combined Survey Responses:** Respondents assigned the highest combined C score to **Comms Outage**.

Accidental Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Aircraft Crash	Building Fire	HazMat Release	Disease Outbreak	Comms Outage	Medical Emergency	Utility Outage	External Event
Human Impact (HI):	154.3	148.3	149.2	201.0	241.0	123.2	208.3	154.5
Economic Impact (EI):	157.8	156.0	145.3	181.7	180.3	109.2	156.3	122.3
(C) = HI + EI:	312.2	304.3	294.5	382.7	421.3	232.3	364.7	276.8

SME Survey Responses: SMEs assigned the highest C score to Comms Outage.

Accidental Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Aircraft Crash	Building Fire	HazMat Release	Disease Outbreak	Comms Outage	Medical Emergency	Utility Outage	External Event
Human Impact (HI):	30.7	25.7	24.3	32.0	43.0	18.7	37.3	25.5
Economic Impact (EI):	30.7	27.3	24.8	28.7	30.2	16.7	26.5	20.7
(C) = HI + EI:	61.3	53.0	49.2	60.7	73.2	35.3	63.8	46.2

Community Survey Responses: Community members assigned the highest C score to Comms Outage.

Accidental Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Aircraft Crash	Building Fire	HazMat Release	Disease Outbreak	Comms Outage	Medical Emergency	Utility Outage	External Event
Human Impact (HI):	123.7	122.7	124.8	169.0	198.0	104.5	171.0	129.0
Economic Impact (EI):	127.2	128.7	120.5	153.0	150.2	92.5	129.8	101.7
(C) = HI + EI:	250.8	251.3	245.3	322.0	348.2	197.0	300.8	230.7

#### For Official Use Only

**W**UMBC

Figure A5.3.	Consequence	Scores for	Intentional	Hazards
	4			

Combined Survey Responses: Respondents assigned the highest combined C score to Campus Evac									
	Intentional Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Terrorist Threat	Active Shooter	Campus Evacuation	Civil Disturbance	Person Crimes	Property Crimes		
	Human Impact (HI):	189.3	197.7	222.5	188.0	128.5	120.7		
	Economic Impact (EI):	162.0	166.5	153.7	139.8	115.3	118.7		
	(C) = HI + EI:	351.3	364.2	376.2	327.8	243.8	239.3		
<b>ME Survey Responses:</b> SMEs assigned the highest C score to <b>Campus Evacuation</b> .									

Intentional Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Terrorist Threat	Active Shooter	Campus Evacuation	Civil Disturbance	Person Crimes	Property Crimes
Human Impact (HI):	33.3	32.2	40.7	35.5	21.5	18.2
Economic Impact (EI):	30.2	27.5	26.8	24.8	18.8	18.7
(C) = HI + EI:	63.5	59.7	67.5	60.3	40.3	36.8

Community Survey Responses: Community members assigned the highest C score to Campus Evacuation.

Intentional Hazard Consequence (C) Scores	Terrorist Threat	Active Shooter	Campus Evacuation	Civil Disturbance	Person Crimes	Property Crimes
Human Impact (HI):	156.0	165.5	181.8	152.5	107.0	102.5
Economic Impact (EI):	131.8	139.0	126.8	115.0	96.5	100.0
(C) = HI + EI:	287.8	304.5	308.7	267.5	203.5	202.5

-- End of Attachment 5 --

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page 29 of 36

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

#### For Official Use Only

## Attachment 6: Probability (P) and Risk (R) Scores

The following data tables show combined survey results as well as SME and community survey results for these scores.

Figure A6.1. Risk Values for Natural Hazards

nbined Survey Responses: Respondents gave the highest combined R value to Seven									
Primary Natural Hazard:	Earthquake	Flood	Severe Storm!	Winter Storm					
Number of Responses (N):	257	252	255	258					
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	4.49	5.08	7.20	7.12					
Vulnerability Rating (V):	7	7	7	7					
Hazard Value (HZ):	121.8	137.2	206.4	207.6					
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	852.6	960.4	1444.8	1453.2					
Human Impact (HI):	158.8	163.0	209.3	220.8					
Economic Impact (EI):	142.0	158.0	182.5	162.8					
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	300.8	321.0	391.8	383.7					

SME Survey Responses: SMEs gave the highest R value to Winter Storm.

Primary Natural Hazard:	Earthquake	Flood	Severe Storm	Winter Storm!
Number of Responses (N):	46	46	46	46
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	4.56	5.02	7.12	7.22
Vulnerability Rating (V):	7	7	7	7
Hazard Value (HZ):	22.0	25.2	37.0	37.8
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	154	176.4	259	264.6
Human Impact (HI):	29.0	27.3	36.8	39.3
Economic Impact (EI):	26.7	27.3	31.5	28.2
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	55.7	54.7	68.3	67.5

Community Survey Responses: Community members gave the highest R value to Severe Storm.

Primary Natural Hazard:	Earthquake	Flood	Severe Storm!	Winter Storm
Number of Responses (N):	211	206	209	212
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	4.47	5.10	7.22	7.10
Vulnerability Rating (V):	7	7	7	7
Hazard Value (HZ):	99.8	112.0	169.4	169.8
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	698.6	784	1185.8	1188.6
Human Impact (HI):	129.8	135.7	172.5	181.5
Economic Impact (EI):	115.3	130.7	151.0	134.7
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	245.2	266.3	323.5	316.2

#### For Official Use Only

#### Figure A6.2. Risk Values for Accidental Hazards

Combined Survey Responses: Respondents gave the highest combined R value to Utility Outage.								
Primary Accidental Hazard:	Aircraft Crash	Building Fire	HazMat Release	Disease Outbreak	Comms Outage	Medical Emergency	Utility Outage!	External Event
Number of Responses (N):	258	256	250	254	259	246	246	246
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	4.17	5.67	5.17	6.16	7.23	6.45	7.69	5.97
Vulnerability Rating (V):	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Hazard Value (HZ):	109.0	164.0	142.6	169.0	207.2	193.4	218.2	170.4
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	763	1148	998.2	1183	1450.4	1353.8	1527.4	1192.8
Human Impact (HI):	154.3	148.3	149.2	201.0	241.0	123.2	208.3	154.5
Economic Impact (EI):	157.8	156.0	145.3	181.7	180.3	109.2	156.3	122.3
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	312.2	304.3	294.5	382.7	421.3	232.3	364.7	276.8

#### SME Survey Responses: SMEs gave the highest R value to Utility Outage.

Primary Accidental Hazard:	Aircraft Crash	Building Fire	HazMat Release	Disease Outbreak	Comms Outage	Medical Emergency	Utility Outage!	External Event
Number of Responses (N):	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	46
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	4.38	5.87	5.48	5.61	7.16	6.58	7.44	5.81
Vulnerability Rating (V):	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Hazard Value (HZ):	20.0	31.0	29.0	28.2	36.6	38.2	39.8	31.6
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	140	217	203	197.4	256.2	267.4	278.6	221.2
Human Impact (HI):	30.7	25.7	24.3	32.0	43.0	18.7	37.3	25.5
Economic Impact (EI):	30.7	27.3	24.8	28.7	30.2	16.7	26.5	20.7
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	61.3	53.0	49.2	60.7	73.2	35.3	63.8	46.2

Community Survey Responses: Community members gave the highest R value to Utility Outage.

Primary Accidental Hazard:	Aircraft Crash	Building Fire	HazMat Release	Disease Outbreak	Comms Outage	Medical Emergency	Utility Outage!	External Event
Number of Responses (N):	212	210	204	208	213	200	200	200
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	4.12	5.63	5.10	6.29	7.24	6.42	7.75	6.01
Vulnerability Rating (V):	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Hazard Value (HZ):	89.0	133.0	113.6	140.8	170.6	155.2	178.4	138.8
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	623	931	795.2	985.6	1194.2	1086.4	1248.8	971.6
Human Impact (HI):	123.7	122.7	124.8	169.0	198.0	104.5	171.0	129.0
Economic Impact (EI):	127.2	128.7	120.5	153.0	150.2	92.5	129.8	101.7
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	250.8	251.3	245.3	322.0	348.2	197.0	300.8	230.7

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023

#### For Official Use Only

#### Figure A6.3. Risk Values for Intentional Hazards

Survey Responses: Re	spondents	gave the h	nighest com	bined R valu	e to Crime	e Against l
Primary Intentional Hazard:	Terrorist Threat	Active Shooter	Campus Evacuation	Civil Disturbance	Person Crimes	Property Crimes!
Number of Responses (N):	256	258	255	251	249	250
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	5.26	6.22	5.84	5.94	7.58	7.63
Vulnerability Rating (V):	8	8	8	8	8	8
Hazard Value (HZ):	124.4	155.0	139.0	145.4	205.6	208.6
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	995.2	1240	1112	1163.2	1644.8	1668.8
Human Impact (HI):	189.3	197.7	222.5	188.0	128.5	120.7
Economic Impact (EI):	162.0	166.5	153.7	139.8	115.3	118.7
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	351.3	364.2	376.2	327.8	243.8	239.3
Primary Intentional	Terrorist	Active	Campus	Civil	Person	Property
Hazard:	Threat	Shooter	Evacuation	Disturbance	Crimes	Crimes!
Number of Responses (N):	46	46	46	46	46	46
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	5.35	5.99	5.64	6.01	7.38	7.58
Vulnerability Rating (V):	8	8	8	8	8	8
Hazard Value (HZ):	22.8	27.0	24.0	27.0	37.4	39.0
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	182.4	216	192	216	299.2	312
Human Impact (HI):	33.3	32.2	40.7	35.5	21.5	18.2
Economic Impact (EI):	30.2	27.5	26.8	24.8	18.8	18.7
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	63.5	59.7	67.5	60.3	40.3	36.8
y Survey Responses: C Primary Intentional	ommunity Terrorist	members o	gave the hig Campus	hest R value	to <b>Crime</b> . Person	Against P Property
Hazard:	Threat	Shooter	Evacuation	Disturbance	Crimes	Crimes!
Number of Responses (N):	210	212	209	205	203	204
Risk (R) = (P + C) / N:	5.24	6.27	5.88	5.93	7.63	7.64
Vulnerability Rating (V):	8	8	8	8	8	8
Hazard Value (HZ):	101.6	128.0	115.0	118.4	168.2	169.6
Probability (P) = V x HZ:	812.8	1024	920	947.2	1345.6	1356.8
Human Impact (HI):	156.0	165.5	181.8	152.5	107.0	102.5
Economic Impact (EI):	131.8	139.0	126.8	115.0	96.5	100.0
Consequence (C) = HI + E:	287.8	304.5	308.7	267.5	203.5	202.5

-- End of Attachment 6 --

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

#### For Official Use Only

## **Attachment 7: All-Hazard Rankings**

The following tables rank all hazard types by risk, impacts, and probability.

Figure A7.1. Risk Rankings for All Hazards

<b>Rankings for all hazards by R score.</b> Higher ranked hazards have the greatest overall probability of occurrence and the greatest overall impacts on the UMBC campus and community.				
Rank	R Score	Hazard Type		
1	7.75	PRIMARY OVERALL AND PRIMARY ACCIDENTAL HAZARD		
		Utility or Infrastructure Outage. This includes water, power, heating or cooling systems, elevators, or fire alarm and sprinkler system outages.		
2	7.64	PRIMARY INTENTIONAL HAZARD		
		Crime Against Property Any form of theft or intentional damage caused to property owned by an individual or owned by the University.		
3	7.63	Crime Against Persons. Any form of assault on an individual or group.		
4	7.24	IT or Communications Outage.		
5	7.22	PRIMARY NATURAL HAZARD		
		Severe Storm. This includes tornadoes, high winds, severe thunderstorms, hurricanes, and tropical storms.		
6	7.10	Winter Storm.		
7	6.42	Medical Emergency.		
8	6.29	Infectious Disease Outbreak. Infectious diseases other than COVID-19.		
9	6.27	Active Shooter/Workplace Violence.		
10	6.01	Other External Events. These are events initiated by an agency or organization outside of UMBC. Examples that have occurred in the past are: activation of a FEMA shelter on campus; a search for a patient missing from a local hospital; or diversion of traffic through campus due to an accident on nearby highways.		
11	5.93	Civil Disturbance. This includes a riot or violent protest.		
12	5.88	Campus-wide evacuation resulting from a bomb or explosive threat.		
13	5.63	Fire Emergency occurring inside a building or outside on the grounds of the campus.		
14	5.24	Terrorist Threat.		
15	5.10	Accidental Release of Hazardous Materials.		
16	5.10	Flood.		
17	4.47	Earthquake.		
18	4.12	Aircraft Crash.		



Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

#### For Official Use Only

#### Figure A7.2. Impact Rankings for All Hazards

<b>Rankings for all hazards by C score.</b> Higher ranked hazards may have the greatest combined human and economic impact on the UMBC campus and community if an actual incident occurred.				
Rank	C Score	Hazard Type		
1	348.17	IT or Communications Outage.		
2	323.50	PRIMARY NATURAL HAZARD		
		Severe Storm. This includes tornadoes, high winds, severe thunderstorms, hurricanes, and tropical storms.		
3	322.00	Infectious Disease Outbreak. Infectious diseases other than COVID-19.		
4	316.17	Winter Storm		
5	308.67	Campus-wide evacuation resulting from a bomb or explosive threat.		
6	304.50	Active Shooter/Workplace Violence.		
7	300.83	PRIMARY OVERALL AND PRIMARY ACCIDENTAL HAZARD		
		Utility or Infrastructure Outage. This includes water, power, heating or cooling systems, elevators, or fire alarm and sprinkler system outages.		
8	287.83	Terrorist Threat		
9	267.50	Civil Disturbance. This includes a riot or violent protest.		
10	266.33	Flood		
11	251.33	Fire Emergency occurring inside a building or outside on the grounds of the campus.		
12	250.83	Aircraft Crash		
13	245.33	Accidental Release of Hazardous Materials.		
14	245.17	Earthquake		
15	230.67	Other External Events. These are events initiated by an agency or organization outside of UMBC. Examples that have occurred in the past are: activation of a FEMA shelter on campus; a search for a patient missing from a local hospital; or diversion of traffic through campus due to an accident on nearby highways.		
16	203.50	Crime Against Persons. Any form of assault on an individual or group.		
17	202.50	PRIMARY INTENTIONAL HAZARD		
		Crime Against Property Any form of theft or intentional damage caused to property owned by an individual or owned by the University.		
18	197.00	Medical Emergency		

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

#### For Official Use Only

**W**UMBC

#### Figure A7.1. Probability Rankings for All Hazards

<b>Rankings for all hazards by P score.</b> Higher ranked hazards have the greatest probability of occurrence based on vulnerability ratings and combined survey results.				
Rank	P Score	Hazard Type		
1	1356.80	PRIMARY INTENTIONAL HAZARD		
		Crime Against Property. Any form of theft or intentional damage caused to property owned by an individual or owned by the University.		
2	1345.60	Crime Against Persons. Any form of assault on an individual or group.		
3	1248.80	PRIMARY OVERALL AND PRIMARY ACCIDENTAL HAZARD		
		Utility or Infrastructure Outage. This includes water, power, heating or cooling systems, elevators, or fire alarm and sprinkler system outages.		
4	1194.20	IT or Communications Outage.		
5	1188.60	Winter Storm		
6	1185.80	PRIMARY NATURAL HAZARD		
		Severe Storm. This includes tornadoes, high winds, severe thunderstorms, hurricanes, and tropical storms.		
7	1086.40	Medical Emergency		
8	1024.00	Active Shooter/Workplace Violence.		
9	985.60	Infectious Disease Outbreak. Infectious diseases other than COVID-19.		
10	971.60	Other External Events. These are events initiated by an agency or organization outside of UMBC. Examples that have occurred in the past are: activation of a FEMA shelter on campus; a search for a patient missing from a local hospital; or diversion of traffic through campus due to an accident on nearby highways.		
11	947.20	Civil Disturbance. This includes a riot or violent protest.		
12	931.00	Fire Emergency occurring inside a building or outside on the grounds of the campus.		
13	920.00	Campus-wide evacuation resulting from a bomb or explosive threat.		
14	812.80	Terrorist Threat		
15	795.20	Accidental Release of Hazardous Materials.		
16	784.00	Flood		
17	698.60	Earthquake		
18	623.00	Aircraft Crash		

Natural, Accidental, and Intentional Threats

For Official Use Only



## -- End of Document - Nothing Follows --

University of Maryland, Baltimore County Emergency Management Revision Date: January 26, 2023 Page 36 of 36